Thursday, Sept-16, 2010

1. Field strength

Calculate the amplitudes of E and B at a distance of 50 cm away from an isotropic, monochromatic point source that radiates at a constant power level of 100 W.

$$I = P/(4\pi r^2) = 100 \text{ W}/(3.14 \cdot 1 \text{ m}^2) = 31.8 \text{ W/m}^2$$

$$E_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2I}{\varepsilon_0 c}} = \sqrt{\frac{63.6 \text{ VA/m}^2}{8.85 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ As/Vm} \cdot 3 \cdot 10^8 \text{ m/s}}} = 155 \text{ V/m}; B_0 = E_0/c = 5.2 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ Vs}$$

2. Sellmeier equation

Show that Cauchy's equation, $n = C_1 + C_2/\lambda^2 + C_3/\lambda^4 + \dots$, is an approximation of Sellmeier's equation,

$$n^2 = 1 + \sum_{j} \frac{A_j \lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_{0,j}^2}$$

when $\lambda \gg \lambda_{0,j}$ (where $\lambda_{0,j}$ are the wavelengths associated with the relaxation frequencies $v_{0,j}$).

Squaring the Cauchy form yields

$$n^{2} = \left(C_{1} + C_{2}\lambda^{2} + ...\right)^{2} = C_{1}^{2} + 2\frac{C_{1}C_{2}}{\lambda^{2}} + O(\lambda^{4})$$

On the other hand, expansion of the Sellmeier formula gives

$$n^{2} = 1 + \frac{A}{1 - \lambda_{0}^{2} / \lambda^{2}} \approx 1 + A \left(1 + \lambda_{0}^{2} / \lambda^{2} \right) = (1 + A) + \frac{A \lambda_{0}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}$$

(One could do that for all members of the sum, but that's not necessary for the general identity.) Then, equating the coefficients of the two forms yields,

$$C_1 = (1+A)^{1/2}; \quad C_2 = \frac{A\lambda_0^2}{2C_1} = \frac{A\lambda_0^2}{2(1+A)^{1/2}}$$

(3 pts)

(5 pts)

due: Wednesday, Sept-23, 2010 - before class

3. Rayleigh scattering

(2 pts)

Determine the relative amount of scattering of a dilute gas mixture for the $\lambda = 580$ nm and $\lambda = 400$ nm components of white light. Implications?

4.2 The degree of Rayleigh scattering is proportional to $1/\lambda^4$. But $\lambda_y = 1.45\lambda_v$ and so $1/\lambda_y^4 = (1/1.45\lambda_v)^4$ hence violet is scattered $(1.45)^4 = 4.42$ times more intensely than yellow. The ratio of yellow to violet is 22.6%.

4. Damped driven oscillator

In the equation for the damped driven oscillator, $m_e \ddot{x} + m_e \gamma \dot{x} + m_e \omega_0^2 x = q_e E(t)$, explain the significance of each term and show that the amplitude x_0 of a harmonic solution depends on the field amplitude, E_0 , as

$$x_{0} = \frac{q_{e}E_{0}}{m_{e}} \frac{1}{\left[\left(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2}\right) + \gamma^{2}\omega^{2}\right]^{1/2}}$$

How does the phase lag α depend on ω ?

4.4 (a) On the left-hand side are the inertial, drag force, and elastic force terms; on the right-hand side is the electric driving force. (b) $x_0(-\omega^2 + \omega_0^2 + i\gamma\omega) = (q_e E_0/m_e) \exp(i\alpha)$, forming the absolute square of both sides yields $x_0^2[(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \gamma^2\omega^2] = (q_e E_0/m_e)^2$ and x_0 follows by division and taking the square root. (c) As for α , divide the imaginary parts of both sides of the first equation above, namely $x_0\gamma\omega = (q_e E_0/m_e)\sin\alpha$, by the real parts, $x_0(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) = (q_e E_0/m_e)\cos\alpha$ to obtain $\alpha = \tan^{-1}[\gamma\omega/(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)]$. α ranges continuously from 0 to $\pi/2$ to π .

(5 pts)

due: Wednesday, Sept-23, 2010 - before class

5. Wave in thin glass plate

(5 pts)

Show that an observer sees the field of a light beam, $E_u = E_0 \exp(i\omega[t - y/c])$ in vacuum, change to $E_p = E_0 \exp(i\omega[t - (n-1)\Delta y/c - y/c])$ in an absorption-free glass plate of index *n* and thickness Δy . Start with the no. of oscillations of the wave field within Δy in vacuum and in the medium. Then show that for $n \approx 1$, or if Δy is very small, $E_p = E_u + \omega(n-1)\Delta y/c \cdot E_u \exp(-i\pi/2)$. This result shows that the secondary wave in a medium is phase-shifted by 90° ($\alpha = \pi/2$) against the primary wave, even as $\Delta y \rightarrow 0$.

4.5 (a) The phase angle is retarded by an amount
$$(n\Delta y 2\pi/\lambda) - \Delta y 2\pi/\lambda$$
 or
 $(n-1)\Delta y\omega/c$. Thus $E_p = E_0 \exp i\omega[t - (n-1)\Delta y/c - y/c]$ or
 $E_p = E_0 \exp[-i\omega(n-1)\Delta y/c] \exp i\omega(t - y/c)$ (b) Since $e^x \approx 1 + x$ for
small x, if $n \approx 1$ or $\Delta y \ll 1$, $\exp[-i\omega(n-1)\Delta y/c] \approx 1 - i\omega(n-1)\Delta y/c$
and since $\exp(-i\pi/2) = -i$, $E_p = E_u + \omega(n-1)\Delta y(E_u/c) \exp(-i\pi/2)$.